Analysis of Beef Cattle Population in Bulukumba Districk, South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia

(Analisis Populasi Ternak Sapi di Kabupaten Bulukumba, Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan, Indonesia)

Rusny, Siska Tridesianti, Andiani, Basir Paly, Mashuri Masri Science and Technology Faculty, UIN Alauddin Makassar Email: rusny@uin-alauddin.ac.id

Diterima: 2 April 2022/Disetujui: 30 Mei 2022

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui perkembangan populasi ternak khusunya sapi potong di Kecamatan Kajang, Kabupaten Bulukumba, serta apa upaya pemerintah yang telah dilakukan untuk pengembangan populasi sapi potong di Kecamatan Kajang, Kabupaten Bulukumba, dilaksanakan dari Februari — Agustus 2021 yang bertempat di Kecamatan Kajang Kabupaten Bulukumba Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan, Penelitian ini bersifat kuantitatif dengan menggunakan analisis trend, dengan hasil penelitian yaitu terjadi perkembangan populasi ternak sapi potong di Kecamatan Kajang Kabupaten Bulukumba. Setelah dilakukan peramalan dengan analisis trend menghasilkan bahwa populasi ternak sapi potong di Kecamatan Kajang untuk periode 2021 dan 2022 memperlihatkan bahwa perkembangan akan terus terjadi di tahun berikutnya.

Kata Kunci: Analisis, Perkembangan, Populasi, Ternak, Sapi Potong

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the development of beef cattle population, as well as to identify government efforts in order to develop beef cattle population in Kajang District. The study was carried out from February – August 2021 which is located in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency, South Sulawesi Province. Quantitative data were analyzed using trend analysis, with the results of the study that there is a development of beef cattle population in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency. For the period 2021 and 2022 shows that have been made by the development will continue to occur in the following year.

Keywords: Analyze, Beef Cattle Population, government's effort, trend

INTRODUCTION

Among several existing livestock businesses, beef cattle farming is a business that is fairly easy and much in demand by the community (Rexroad et al., 2019), The increasing demand for beef occurs every year marked by the increasing number of imports, both imports in the form of beef (Rudatin, 2016; Weis, 2013). This requires people who are authorized in business or in the livestock sector to implement a self-sufficiency strategy in meeting the

community's need for national meat so that they are no longer dependent on imports (Beltran-Pea et al., 2020; Clapp, 2017).

A program in the development of a beef cattle business can achieve its goals if the available natural resources can be used properly (Wunderlich & Martinez, 2018), with appropriate optimization in accordance with the natural conditions of a region, facilities and infrastructure, utilization of technological developments, socio-economic conditions of the local

community, and support of government policies (Mahesh & Datt, 2021; Singh et al., 2021).

Based on the description of the background, research is carried out related to livestock farmer groups that have the ability to livestock business development. Livestock farmer groups are expected to become facilities that can facilitate the development of breeders by agencies/institutions that related, besides that breeders are used as a vehicle to improve member knowledge and skills.

METHODS

Activity Design

This type of research is quantitative research which is a type of research where this research is used to examine a particular population or sample.

Scope

This study involved farmers in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency, who raise beef cattle business with a total population of 532 breeders.

Tools

The sampling technique that will be carried out in this study is using the solving formula. Furthermore, from the existing population, calculations are carried out using the slaving formula.

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N.e^2}$$

$$n = \frac{532}{1 + 532.10\%^2}$$

$$n = \frac{532}{1 + 5.32}$$

n = 84

Description:

n = Sample Size

N = Population Size

e² = Percentage of allowance for inaccuracy due to sampling error that can still be tolerated or desired, which is 10%.

Place

The time and place of the research was done in February– August, 2022, which is located in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency, South Sulawesi Province.

Data Collection Techniques

The types of data used in this study are:

- 1. Qualitative data are data which are generally in the form of statements that relate to variables from the study which include the development of beef cattle population.
- 2. Quantitative data is data in the form of numbers obtained from measurement results including data on adult female cows, pregnancy data, calf births.

Operational Definitions of research variables

The research variable that will be carried out consists of one variable of beef cattle population development in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency. This measurement will be based on the results of interviews with breeders in Kajang District.

Analysis Techniques

Descriptive analysis was used to describe the characteristics of beef cattle farmers in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency including age, education level, occupation, experience of raising beef cattle, number of family members and number of cattle kept. Descriptive research aims to systematically and accurately describe situations or events in a particular field (Atmowardoyo, 2018). Furthermore, the data is tested for trends.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Variable measured in development of beef cattle population in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency.

| Variable | Sub Variable | Measurement Indicator | |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Development of Beef | Growth of Population | a. Calf Birth | |
| Cattle Population in | | b. Parent Pregnancy | |
| Kajang District, | | c. Livestock Purchase | |
| Bulukumba Regency. | | d. Immigration Rate | |
| | 2. Decline of Population | a. Livestock Death | |
| | _ | b. Productive Livestock | |
| | | Slaughter | |
| | | c. Livestock Sales | |
| | | d. Emigration Rate | |

Considering that the community's need for something and other things continues to increase which requires breeders to increase their investment

power to support urgent needs at any time, look at the development of beef cattle below:

Table 2. Total Beef Cattle Population in Kajang SubDistrict, Bulukumba District from 2016 to 2020.

| No | Year | Population | Percentage (%) |
|----|-------|------------|----------------|
| 1. | 2016 | 11.073 | 17,66 |
| 2. | 2017 | 11.019 | 17,57 |
| 3. | 2018 | 13.088 | 20,87 |
| 4. | 2019 | 13.530 | 21,58 |
| 5. | 2020 | 13.982 | 22,30 |
| | Total | 62.692 | 100 % |

Source: Dinas Peternakan Kabupaten Bulukumba, Provinsi Sulawesi selatan (Regional Livestock office of Bulukumba Regency, 2022).

Based on table 2 above, in 2016 the number of beef cattle in Kajang District with a population level of 17.66%, but in the following year the livestock population decreased, namely in 2017 the percentage of the livestock population was at 17.66% which means the livestock population in the District Kajang in 2017 decreased from the previous year which was 0.14%, but in 2018 the beef cattle

population increased rapidly with a percentage of 20.87%, then in 2019 it increased again by 0.17% from the previous year, and continues to increase in 2020, namely 22.30% with a percentage increase in the number of population from the previous year, which is 0.17%.

Data from the Kajang Sub-district, Bulukumba Regency from 2016-2020. Furthermore, calculations are carried out using a linear equation, namely Y=a+bx, namely Y=62692+8329 (x) with this

equation, the population development trend in 2021 is as follows:

Table 3. Trend of beef cattle population development in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency in 2016-2020.

| District - | Trend | | Estimation | _ |
|------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Year | Population | Year | Population |
| Kajang | 2016 | 11.073 | 2021 | 15.037 |
| | 2017 | 11.019 | 2022 | 15.870 |
| | 2018 | 12.088 | | |
| | 2019 | 13.530 | | |
| | 2020 | 13.982 | | |

Source: Primary Data, 2021.

Based on table 3, in the second year there was a decline in population from the previous year, but in the following year, in 2018, the beef cattle population increased to the following year. It is estimated that it will continue to increase after the trend test is carried out, where in Kajang District in 2021 it is estimated that the beef cattle population will increase to 15.870. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out future development efforts so that the estimated population

data in the future can be in accordance with estimates even greater than the estimated population, so that the role of sharing parties is needed in increasing the livestock population from year to year (Abin et al., 2016; Drouillard, 2018).

According to data obtained from respondents, the increase of cattle population was due to increasing in some parameters as showed in table 4.

Table 4. Variables affected the increase number of beef cattle population in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency.

| | | Beef Cattle Population Increase | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | Pregnancy | Birth | Purchase | Immigration |
| Cow | 35 | 19 | 3 | 8 |
| Bulls | 0 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 35 | 33 | 5 | 9 |

Source: Primary Data, 2021.

In table 4, above regarding the indicator factors for increasing livestock population, the effect of population growth in Kajang District is the presence of 35 pregnant cow, 33 calf births consisting of 19 cow and 14 bulls, then the purchase of 5 cattle, 3 of them were cows and 2 bulls, and as many as 9 immigration cattle from Tugondeng Village, Herlang District, Bulukumba Regency who were immigrated to Lembang Village, Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency, where 8 of

them were cows and 1 male bulls, which is an indicator of population decline. It was stated by Hu (2017) Beside the quick increment within the supply of animal nourishment items. production the demonstrate of livestock and poultry has experienced noteworthy changes to extend capacity and effectiveness the production (Hu et al., 2017). Furthermore Diskin (2016) In spite of the fact that expanded endeavors are being made universally to hereditarily distinguish and select for more reproductively efficient beef cows, this can be a more long-term procedure and will not supplant the require for a tall level of technical productivity and administration hone at farm level (Diskin & Kenny, 2016).

Table 5. Effect of decreasing beef cattle population in Kajang District, Bulukumba Regency.

| | | Decrease in Beef Cattle Population | | |
|-------|-------|---|------|------------|
| | Death | Slaughter | Sale | Emigration |
| Cow | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| Bulls | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 7 | 21 | 0 |

Source: Primary Data, 2021.

Based on table 5, it can be seen that the effect of population declines in Kajang District, which is an indicator that causes population decline, can be seen that the mortality rate in Kajang District is relatively low, only 4 in 2020, 1 bull and 3 cows, then in 2020 Another indicator, namely the slaughter of productive livestock, only occurred 7 cases consisting of 2 bulls and 5 cows. Then on the sales indicator, a total of 21 cows sold, 8 cows and 13 bulls, there was no emigration rate. Koleci stated that Need of motivating forces to contribute in animals division represent a noteworthy challenge for longterm advancement of cattle industry (Koleci et al., 2021).

The development of the beef cattle population is something that is very important to pay attention to, especially for breeders, because of the increase in the number of cattle or the body weight of the cattle themselves (Berry, 2018; Gowane et al., 2019; Rustinsyah, 2019). Beef cattle or cattle are commonly referred to as beef cattle, their presence in the society is very important (Agus & Widi, 2018), one of which is that beef cattle are usually used as investment land for the society or breeders (Haile et al., 2019; Tester & Langridge, 2010), of course the price of can these cattle increase if the maintenance is carried out properly

(Korhonen et al., 2018; VandeHaar et al., 2016), and at any time can be sold by the owner in this case the breeder if there is an urgent matter that requires large capital or money (Rustinsyah, 2019).

The expanding demand for meat has not been coordinated by household meat production, the supply of which is less than 60% of the national request for beef. The gap between beef supply and demand is increasing (Agus & Widi, 2018; Silvestre et al., 2018). Live cattle and solidified meat imports are an easy route arrangement in the short-medium term. Government endeavors for more than 15 a long time to create residential meat cattle generation for Indonesian self-sufficiency are however to figure it out the objective of self-sufficiency in meat production (Agus & Widi, 2018; Bawono et al., 2020). And the population of beef cattle has increased in Kajang District as one of solution to this problem.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusions of the research are the population of beef cattle has increased and after testing the trend to predict the population of beef cattle in 2021 and 2022 in Kajang District, the population of beef cattle will continue to grow.

The Recommendations of the research are Farmers and the government together in improving maintaining the development of a good population, so that if it can be further improved, so that it can provide encouragement for 9 other sub-districts also continue to strive to continue to number of develop the livestock populations, especially for beef cattle populations.

REFERENCE

- Abin, S., Theron, H. E., & Van Marle-Köster, E. (2016). Population structure and genetic trends for indigenous African beef cattle breeds in South Africa. South African Journal of Animal Sciences, 46(2), 152–156.
 - https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v46i2.5
- Agus, A., & Widi, T. S. M. (2018). Current situation and future prospects for beef cattle production in Indonesia A review. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 31(7), 976–983. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0233
- Atmowardoyo, H. (2018). Research Methods in TEFL Studies: Descriptive Research, Case Study, Error Analysis, and R & D. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 9(1), 197. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0901.25
- Bawono, W., Nurtini, S., & Putra, A. R. S. (2020). The Influence of Socio Economics Characteristics and Meat Self-Sufficiency Policy on Beef Cattle Farmer's Income in Indonesia. *Buletin Peternakan*, 44(3), 103–108. https://doi.org/10.21059/buletinpeter nak.v44i3.47786

- Beltran-Pea, A., Rosa, L., & D'Odorico, P. (2020). Global food self-sufficiency in the 21st century under sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(9). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab9388
- Berry, D. P. (2018). Symposium review: Breeding a better cow—Will she be adaptable?1. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(4), 3665–3685. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13309
- Clapp, J. (2017). Food self-sufficiency: Making sense of it, and when it makes sense. *Food Policy*, 66, 88–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.20 16.12.001
- Dinas Peternakan Kabupaten Bulukumba, Provinsi Sulawesi selatan (Regional Livestock office of Bulukumba Regency, South Sulawesi Province). (2022).
- Diskin, M. G., & Kenny, D. A. (2016). Managing the reproductive performance of beef cows. *Theriogenology*, 86(1), 379–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.04.052
- Drouillard, J. S. (2018). Current situation and future trends for beef production in the United States of America A review. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 31(7), 1007–1016.
 - https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0428
- Gowane, G. R., Kumar, A., & Nimbkar, C. (2019). Challenges and opportunities to livestock breeding programmes in India. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, 136(5), 329–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12391

- Haile, A., Gizaw, S., Getachew, T., Mueller, J. P., Amer, P., Rekik, M., Rischkowsky, B. (2019).Community-based breeding programmes are a viable solution for Ethiopian small ruminant genetic improvement but require public and private investments. Journal Animal Breeding and Genetics, 136(5), 319-328. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12401
- Hu, Y., Cheng, H., & Tao, S. (2017). Environmental and human health challenges of industrial livestock and poultry farming in China and their mitigation. *Environment International*, 107(March), 111–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.07.003
- Koleci, X., Lilo, A., Papa, S., Margariti, K., van Roon, A., Santman-Berends, I., van Schaik, G., Hodnik, J. J., Strain, S., Guelbenzu-Gonzalo, M., & Karalliu, E. (2021). An Overview of Current Approaches and Challenges to the Control of Endemic Infectious Cattle Diseases in Albania. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8(July), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.6 71873
- Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular Economy: The Concept and its Limitations. *Ecological Economics*, 143, 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.20 17.06.041
- Mahesh, P., & Datt, D. M. (2021). Recent Research Trends in Animal Husbandry and Dairying Unlocking the Power of Animals (Issue December).

- Rexroad, C., Vallet, J., Matukumalli, L. Reecy, J., Bickhart, K., Blackburn, H., Boggess, M., Cheng, H., Clutter, A., Cockett, N., Ernst, C., Fulton, J. E., Liu, J., Lunney, J., Neibergs, H., Purcell, C., Smith, T. P. L., Sonstegard, T., Taylor, J., ... Coleman, L. (2019). Genome to phenome: Improving animal health, production, and well-being - A new USDA blueprint for animal genome research 2018-2027. Frontiers in Genetics, 10(MAY),1-29.https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.0 0327
- Rudatin, A. (2016). Analysis on Indonesia's beef import. *Economic Journal of Emerging Markets*, 8(1), 65–72. https://doi.org/10.20885/ejem.vol8.i ss1.art5
- Rustinsyah, R. (2019). The significance of social relations in rural development: A case study of a beef-cattle farmer group in Indonesia. *Journal of Co-Operative Organization and Management*, 7(2), 100088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcom.2019. 100088
- Silvestre, B. S., Monteiro, M. S., Viana, F. L. E., & de Sousa-Filho, J. M. (2018). Challenges for sustainable supply chain management: When stakeholder collaboration becomes conducive to corruption. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 194, 766–776. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.127
- Singh, A. K., Bhakat, C., Ghosh, M. K., & Dutta, T. K. (2021). Technologies used at advanced dairy farms for optimizing the performance of dairy animals: A review. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 19(4), 1–19.
 - https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2021194 -17801

- Tester, M., & Langridge, P. (2010). Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science*, 327(5967), 818–822. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183700
- VandeHaar, M. J., Armentano, L. E., Weigel, K., Spurlock, D. M., Tempelman, R. J., & Veerkamp, R. (2016). Harnessing the genetics of the modern dairy cow to continue improvements in feed efficiency. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(6), 4941–4954. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10352
- Weis, T. (2013). The meat of the global food crisis. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 40(1), 65–85. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.20 12.752357
- Wunderlich, S. M., & Martinez, N. M. (2018). Conserving natural resources through food loss reduction: Production and consumption stages of the food supply chain. Soil International and Water Conservation Research, 6(4), 331https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2018. 06.002